

## Parameterized Algorithms Tutorial

### Tutorial Exercise T1

You are given an  $n \times n$  matrix  $M$  and an integer parameter  $k$ . The goal is to select  $k$  non-zero entries  $S$  such that every other non-zero entry is either in the same row or same column as some element in  $S$ . Is this problem in FPT or  $W[1]$ -hard? Justify your answer.

### Proposed Solution

We reduce to a problem kernel.

*Step 1.* Delete empty rows and columns and they do not play any role in dominating others.

*Step 2.* If for a column  $c$ , there are at least  $k + 2$  copies of  $c$ , keep only  $k + 1$  of them. Similarly, if for a row  $r$ , there are at least  $k + 2$  copies of  $r$ , keep only  $k + 1$  of them. Since we must select only  $k$  non-zero entries in the dominating set, only  $k$  columns can actually contribute to the solution and the entries of the remaining columns have to be dominated by non-zero entries in rows. Therefore it suffices to keep only  $k + 1$  of the columns.

*Step 3.* If there exist a column that is repeated  $k + 1$  times and has at least  $k + 1$  non-zero entries, then the given instance is a NO-instance.

If there is a solution, then the  $k$  dominating entries can be rearranged in the upper-left corner of the matrix. The bottom-right corner of the matrix is a block of zeros. Now there can be at most  $2^k - 1$  distinct rows, each repeated  $k + 1$  times and the same for columns. Hence the total size of the matrix is at most  $4^k(k + 1)^2$ .

### Tutorial Exercise T2

Consider the following version of the STEINER TREE problem: an input is a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a set  $S \subseteq V$  and an integer parameter  $k$ ; the goal is to decide whether there exists a set  $T \subseteq V \setminus S$  of size at most  $k$  such that  $G[T \cup S]$  is connected. Is this problem FPT or  $W[1]$ -hard? Justify your answer as usual.

### Proposed Solution

The problem is  $W[2]$ -complete. For inclusion in  $W[2]$ , reduce to SHORT MULTITAPE TURING MACHINE ACCEPTANCE. For  $W[2]$ -hardness, reduce from DOMINATING SET. Given an instance  $(G, k)$  of the DOMINATING SET problem, create a graph  $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$  by taking two copies of the vertex set of  $G$ ,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , and for each edge  $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$ , add the edges  $\{u_1, v_2\}$  and  $\{v_1, u_2\}$ , where  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  denote the copies of vertex  $u$  in the sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Pairwise connect all vertices in  $V_2$ . Set  $S := V_1$  to be the set of terminals. Then  $G$  has a  $k$ -dominating set if and only if there exists a set  $T \subseteq V_2$  of size at most  $k$  such that  $\tilde{G}[S \cup T]$  is connected.

## Homework H1

Consider the following problem: Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  and integers  $k$  and  $l$ , decide whether  $G$  has  $k$  vertices  $V'$  such that the cut  $(V', V \setminus V')$  has at least  $l$  edges. The parameter is  $k$ . Show that this problem is  $W[1]$ -hard on  $d$ -regular graphs, where  $d$  is sufficiently large in comparison to  $k$ .

## Proposed Solution

Reduce from the  $k$ -CLIQUE problem on  $d$ -regular graphs, where  $d > k^2$ . A  $d$ -regular graph with  $d > k^2$  has a  $k$ -clique if and only if, it has a vertex cut  $(V_1, V_2)$  with  $|V_1| = k$  and  $|E(V_1, V_2)| = kd - 2\binom{k}{2}$ .

## Homework H2

The DOMINATING SET problem is  $W[2]$ -complete in general but in many well-known graph classes it is fixed-parameter tractable. For instance, it has a linear kernel on the class of planar graphs (and, in fact, on graphs of bounded genus, on  $H$ -minor-free graphs etc.). A colleague claims that the problem is FPT on bipartite graphs. Would you agree with your colleague? Justify your answer.

## Proposed Solution

The DOMINATING SET problem remains  $W[2]$ -complete on bipartite graphs: there is an easy reduction from the same problem in general graphs. Given an instance  $(G, k)$  of DOMINATING SET in general graphs, create a bipartite graph  $\tilde{G}$  as follows: create two copies of the vertex set of  $G$  and call them  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ; let  $z$  and  $z'$  be two special vertices that are not elements of  $V_1 \cup V_2$ . The vertex set of  $\tilde{G} = (V_1 \cup \{z'\}) \dot{\cup} (V_2 \cup \{z\})$  and the edge set of  $\tilde{G}$  consists of the following edges:

1. for each  $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$ , add edges  $\{u_1, v_2\}$  and  $\{v_1, u_2\}$  in  $\tilde{G}$ , where  $u_i, v_i$  are the copies of  $u, v$  in  $V_i$ ;
2. add edges  $\{z, u_1\}$  for all  $u_1 \in V_1$ ;
3. add the edge  $\{z, z'\}$ .

This completes the edge set construction of  $\tilde{G}$ .

We claim that  $G$  has a dominating set of size  $k$  if and only if  $\tilde{G}$  has a dominating set of size  $k + 1$ . For the forward direction, let  $S \subseteq V(G)$  be a dominating set of  $G$  of size at most  $k$ . Let  $S_1$  be the copies of these vertices in  $V_1$ . The set  $S_1 \cup \{z\}$  dominates all vertices of  $\tilde{G}$ : vertex  $z$  dominates  $z'$  and all vertices in  $V_1$ ; and  $S_1$  dominates all of  $V_2$ .

Conversely, if  $S'$  is a dominating set of size at most  $k + 1$  in  $\tilde{G}$ , then we may assume without loss of generality that  $z \in S'$ . For if  $z \notin S'$ , it must be that  $z' \in S'$  and, in this case, we can replace  $z$  by  $z'$ . Since  $z$  dominates all of  $V_1$ , we may assume that our dominating set does not contain any vertices from  $V_2$  (because these can only dominate vertices in  $V_1$ ). Thus the remaining  $k$  vertices of  $S'$  are from  $V_1$ . The originals of these vertices in  $G$  then dominate all of  $V(G)$ .