

Parameterized Algorithms Tutorial

Tutorial Exercise T1

IRREDUNDANT SET is a $W[1]$ -complete problem. The hardness is difficult to show. In this exercise, we show that it is in $W[1]$. An instance to this problem is a graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer parameter k ; the question is whether there is a set $V' \subseteq V$ of cardinality k having the property that each vertex $u \in V'$ has a private neighbor. The vertex u has a private neighbor x if $\{u, x\} \in E$ and for all $v \in V' \setminus \{u\}$, $\{v, x\} \notin E$.

Tutorial Exercise T2

The INDUCED MATCHING problem is to decide whether a given graph G has an induced matching of size at least k , where k is the parameter that is supplied as part of the input. While the MAXIMUM MATCHING problem is polynomial-time solvable, the INDUCED MATCHING problem is NP-complete in general. Show by a reduction from IRREDUNDANT SET that this problem is also $W[1]$ -hard in bipartite graphs.

Tutorial Exercise T3

We know that CLIQUE is $W[1]$ -complete on general graphs. This does not change when we restrict the graph class to be *regular*. Show that for any fixed integers $\alpha, c \geq 1$, the CLIQUE problem with parameter k remains $W[1]$ -hard on d -regular graphs with $d \geq \alpha k^c$. Conclude that INDEPENDENT SET is $W[1]$ -complete on regular graphs.

Homework H1

Consider the following problem: Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and integers k and l , decide whether G has k vertices V' such that the cut $(V', V \setminus V')$ has at least l edges. The parameter is k . Show that this problem is $W[1]$ -hard on d -regular graphs, where d is sufficiently large in comparison to k .

Homework H2

Show that INDUCED MATCHING remains $W[1]$ -hard on regular graphs. **Hint:** Reduce from the k -INDEPENDENT SET in regular graphs. Given an instance (G, k) of k -INDEPENDENT SET where G is regular, construct a graph \tilde{G} as follows: Take two copies of G , say G_1 and G_2 , and for each vertex $v \in V(G)$, connect its copy $v_1 \in V(G_1)$ to the closed neighborhood $N[v_2]$ of its copy $v_2 \in V(G_2)$; and, vice versa: connect v_2 to all vertices in $N[v_1]$. Show that G has a k -independent set if and only if \tilde{G} has a k -induced matching.