Tree Decompositions

A tree decomposition of a graph G is a tree, whose nodes are called bags. Every bag is a set of nodes from G.



- Any node and any edge from G ist contained in at least one bag.
- A node contained in two bags A, B must be contained in any bag between A and B.

Tree Decompositions



Tree Decompositions and Treewidth



The width of a tree decompostion is the size of the largest bag minus 1.

$\Rightarrow$ Here, the treewidth is 2.

Tree Decompositions and Treewidth

Alternative definition:



The treewidth of G is the minimum number of cops, needed to catch a robber in G, minus 1.

Tree Decompositions and Treewidth

Given a tree decomposition of G with width w, many optimization problems on G can be solved in time $c^w \cdot poly(n)$ using dynamic programming on the tree decomposition.

Many problems can be solved fast, if a tree decomposition of small width can be found.

General Result

Any problem with the following properties is fixed parameter tractable:

- Let $G = (V, E)$ a planar graph and k a number. Question: Exists some $S \subseteq V$ of size k with a certain property (e.g. S is a vertex cover).
- There is a constant c such that the distance between any node and S is bounded by c.
- Given a tree decomposition of width w, the problem can can be solved in $f(w)n^{O(1)}$ steps.

Special cases are Vertex Cover, Independent Set, and Dominating Set.

Proof Idea

- Since any node is at most c steps away from a node in S, there is no path of length more than $2c|S|$.
- Hence, the diameter is $O(k)$, if there exists some S of size k.
- The treewidth of a planar graph with diameter d is at most $3d$ (without proof).
- If the diameter is larger than $2ck$, the output is no.
- Otherwise, we obtain a tree decomposition of width $6ck$ and can use it to solve the problem.

Dynamic Programming on a Tree Decomposition



Dynamic Programming on a Tree Decomposition

General approach:

- The tree decomposition is transfered into a rooted tree: An arbitrary node becomes the root. Children point to their parents.
- A bag represents the subgraph induced by its children.
- For any bag a table is calculated, showing the optimal solutions for its subgraphs.
- The children's tables are calculated first.

Dynamic Programming on a Tree Decomposition



Dynamic Programming on a Tree Decomposition



Dynamic Programming on a Tree Decomposition



Dynamic Programming on a Tree Decomposition



Dynamic Programming on a Tree Decomposition



Dynamic Programming on a Tree Decomposition



Dynamic Programming on a Tree Decomposition



Dynamic Programming on a Tree Decomposition



Extended Monadic Second Order Graph Theory

We introduce a new logic called MS_2-logic.

This logic contains variables for nodes, edges, sets of nodes, and sets of edges.

There are the quantifiers $\exists$ and $\forall$ and operators $\wedge$, $\vee$ and $\neg$.

Furthermore, the following relations are included:

$$u \in U,\ d \in D,\ inc(d, u),\ adj(u, v),\ \cdot = \cdot$$

where u, v are node variables, d is an edge variable, U is a node set variable, and D is an edge set variable.

Extended Monadic Second Order Graph Theory

A graph can either satisfy a formula or not. This allows for a description of graph classes by formulas.

Example

Which graphs satisfy the following formula:

$$\exists u \exists v \exists w (adj(u, v) \wedge adj(u, w) \wedge adj(v, w))$$

Is there a formula describing bipartite graphs ?

Courcelle's Theorem

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a graph class, described by a formula in MS_2-logic.

The following proplem is fixed parameter tractable:

Input: Graph G with treewidth k

Parameter: k

Question: Does G belong to $\mathcal{G}$

Proof

difficult...

Courcelle's Theorem

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a graph class, described by a formula in MS_2-logic.

The following proplem is fixed parameter tractable:

Input: Graph G with treewidth k

Parameter: k

Question: Does G belong to $\mathcal{G}$

Proof

difficult...